

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 GARY L. SMITH,
9 Plaintiff,
10 v.
11
12 FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS,
13 Defendant.

14 Case No. 22-cv-07860 BLF
15 **ORDER OF DISMISSAL**
16
17

18 On December 9, 2022, Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, filed a two-page, handwritten
19 “civil complaint” against the Federal Bureau of Prisons. Dkt. No. 1. Plaintiff also filed a
20 motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (“IFP”). Dkt. No. 2. On the same day, the
21 Clerk sent Plaintiff a notice informing him that the IFP application he filed was insufficient
22 because he did not submit the proper form and the necessary supporting documents. Dkt.
23 No. 3. Plaintiff was provided with a blank copy of the Court’s IFP application and
24 directed to respond within twenty-eight days to avoid dismissal. *Id.*

25 The deadline passed, and Plaintiff failed to respond. The matter was reassigned to
26 this Court on January 11, 2023. Dkt. No. 6. Accordingly, this action is **DISMISSED**
27 without prejudice for failure to pay the filing fee. The Clerk shall terminate all pending
28

1 motions and close the file.

2 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

3 Dated: January 26, 2023


BETH LABSON FREEMAN
United States District Judge

United States District Court
Northern District of California